Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,837	CHERDRON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ISAAC T. TECKLU	2192
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) ISAAC T. TECKLU.	(3)	
(2) Jeffrey A. Berkowitz, Reg. No. 36,743.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 May 2009.		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>16-18</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Kekic (US 6.664,978 B1).		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.		
Substance of Intensive including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached or any other comments. Expansives contested it. Bestivant its proposal amendment to get the case in condition for allowance. Examiner encoased in include the Secsion's aspect of the Irritation to sech independent plain. If Sand 15. Mr. Bestivoirts reached symminist personal.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SEA MPEP SECTION TSU, HI are ply to the last Office action has already been fluid. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALE MOST DET OF THIS INTERVIEW DATEARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everes side or on attached sheet.		
/Isaac T Tecklu/ Examiner, Art Unit 2192		

U.S. Petent and Tredemark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)